Rec'd PCT/PTO 07 DEC 2004

10/517223





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

ansla		PCT	
anslation	INTERNATIONA	L PRELIMINARY EXAMI	NATION REPORT
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference DG03-PMK-PCT		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of Internation Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/4	
International application No. PCT/FR2003/001695		national filing date (day/month/year) 06 juin 2003 (06.06.2003)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07 juin 2002 (07.06.2002)
International Patent C	lassification (IPC) or national		07 Juni 2002 (07.00.2002)
G06F 17/30			•
			•
Applicant		GUILLONNET, Didier	
This internati and is transm	onal preliminary examination itted to the applicant accordin	report has been prepared by this Inte	mational Preliminary Examining Author
		2 sheets, including this cover	chast
amend	led and are the basis for this re	NNEXES, i.e., sheets of the descrip port and/or sheets containing rectific istrative Instructions under the PCT).	tion, claims and/or drawings which have cations made before this Authority (see
	annexes consist of a total of	·	
3. This report co	ontains indications relating to	the following items:	
1 🔀	Basis of the report		
п [
[_]	Tack of miles of immedian		
v 🖂	Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, i	nventive step or industrial applicability;
v 🖂	citations and explanations supporting such statement		
VI [
VII [_]	Contribution at the state of the state of		
VIII [_]	Certain observations on the	international application	
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report
02 janvier 2004 (02.01.2004)		12	May 2004 (12.05.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

I. Basis of the report

The basis of international preliminary examination report is the application as originally filed.

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).